

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Italian daily platelet transfusion practice for haematological patients undergoing high dose chemotherapy with or without stem cell transplantation: a survey by the GIMEMA Haemostasis and Thrombosis Working Party

Giuseppe Tagariello¹, Giancarlo Castaman², Anna Falanga³, Rita Santoro⁴, Mariasanta Napolitano⁵, Sergio Storti⁶, Dino Veneri⁷, Marco Basso¹, Laura Candiotto¹, Cristina Tassinari¹, Augusto B. Federici⁸, Valerio De Stefano⁶, and the GIMEMA Haemostasis and Thrombosis working party (see full list of contributors at the bottom)

¹Transfusion Service, Haemophilia Centre and Haematology, Castelfranco Veneto Hospital, Castelfranco Veneto;

²Centre for Bleeding Disorders, Careggi University Hospital, Florence; ³Department of Immunohaematology and Transfusion Medicine and Haemostasis and Thrombosis Centre, Papa Giovanni XXIII Hospital, Bergamo;

⁴Haemophilia Centre, Haemostasis and Thrombosis Unit, "Pugliese-Ciaccio" Hospital, Catanzaro; ⁵Unit of Haematology and Transplantation, University Hospital of Palermo, Palermo; ⁶Department of Haematology, Catholic University, Rome; ⁷Department of Medicine; Department of Medicine; University of Verona; Verona; ⁸Haematology and Transfusion Medicine, "L. Sacco" University Hospital, Department of Oncology and Haematology-Oncology, University of Milan, Milan, Italy

Background. Following high-dose chemotherapy/bone marrow transplantation, patients are routinely, prophylactically transfused with platelet concentrates (PC) if they have a platelet count $\leq 10 \times 10^9/L$ or higher in the presence of risk factors for bleeding. However, whether such transfusions are necessary in clinically stable patients with no bleeding, or whether a therapeutic transfusion strategy could be sufficient and safe, is still debated.

Materials and methods. The GIMEMA Haemostasis and Thrombosis Working Party sent a questionnaire to Italian haematology departments to survey several aspects of daily platelet transfusion practice, such as the cut-off platelet count for transfusion, the evaluation of refractoriness and the type of PC administered.

Results The questionnaire was answered by 18 out of 31 centres (58%). A total of 23,162 PC were transfused in 2,396 patients in 2013. The vast majority of centres (95%) transfused PC according to Italian and international guidelines; only a few transfused always at platelet counts $\leq 20 \times 10^9/L$. The broad agreement on platelet count cut-off for transfusion ($\leq 10 \times 10^9/L$) was not confirmed when the World Health Organization (WHO) bleeding score was considered: only a third of centres (33%) used transfusions as recommended when the bleeding grade was ≥ 2 . Platelet refractoriness was poorly monitored and most centres (89%) evaluated, mostly empirically (67%), response to transfusion only 24 hours later. Thirty percent of centres transfused platelets in asymptomatic refractory patients.

Discussion. Although most Italian haematology departments transfuse PC according to Italian and international guidelines, our survey shows that in routine daily practice physicians do not comply closely with the WHO recommendations on platelet transfusions and monitoring platelet refractoriness. This causes excessive platelet transfusions, with a resulting increase of costs and waste of public health resources.

Keywords: bleeding, platelet transfusion, prophylaxis, high-dose chemotherapy, bone marrow transplantation.

Introduction

Patients with blood malignancies are often treated by myeloablative chemotherapy resulting in severe and prolonged hypo-regenerative cytopenias. Although fatal bleeding is rare, the risk of haemorrhage represents a challenge for patients and physicians and it is a standard

of care to support patients with platelet transfusions^{1,2}. Platelets are transfused prophylactically if patients have a platelet count below $10 \times 10^9/L$ or higher in the presence of fever or infection or when grade 2 or higher bleeding, according to the World Health Organization (WHO) scale, is present³⁻⁵ (Table I).

However, whether prophylactic transfusions are necessary in patients who are clinically stable and asymptomatic, or whether a therapeutic transfusion strategy could be sufficient and safe, is still debated⁶⁻⁸.

Thirty years ago, small controlled studies⁹⁻¹² showed favourable results supporting the prophylactic strategy, but there is evidence that a platelet count transfusion trigger of $\leq 10 \times 10^9/L$ is equally as safe as a trigger of $\leq 20 \times 10^9/L$ in controlling bleeding³.

This practice of prophylactic platelet transfusions, together with the wider diffusion of high-dose chemotherapy and stem cell transplantation programmes, has increased the requests for blood products and more stringent approaches to platelet transfusion have been explored. Two important, recent studies compared prophylactic transfusions to "on demand" transfusions for active bleeding and, although both confirmed the value of prophylactic transfusions, "on demand" treatment might become the standard of care in some subgroups of patients^{13,14}.

There is a broad consensus regarding the need for prophylactic platelet transfusions when a patient's platelet count is $\leq 10 \times 10^9/L$, although the debate is still ongoing and some recommendations have tried to reduce the limit further to $\leq 5 \times 10^9/L$ ^{1,15}. There are economic issues (costs), organisational aspects (supply) and medical concerns associated with platelet transfusions. Although post-transfusion viral infections are now very rare, bacterial infections and sepsis have become more frequent (platelets are blood products stored at room temperature) and the risks of plasma allergic reactions and immunogenicity should not be overlooked. Immunological sensitisation to human leucocyte antigens (HLA) and human platelet antigens (HPA) may result in lack of efficacy and refractoriness to platelet transfusions, the latter occurring in approximately 20-30% of patients^{16,17}.

Conventionally refractoriness occurs if the platelet count increase, calculated 1 hour and/or 24 hours after the transfusion, is less than $7.5 \times 10^9/L$ or $4.5 \times 10^9/L$, respectively, using the standard "formula" (Figure 1) and the results are confirmed on at least two consecutive occasions. In fact, a correct platelet count increase, followed by a reduction after 24 hours may be due to increased consumption induced by non-immunological

causes such as fever, sepsis, splenomegaly, active bleeding, disseminated intravascular coagulation or administration of amphotericin B^{18,19}.

The aim of the present survey was to evaluate the standard trough level at which patients receive platelet transfusions and to assess how "refractory" patients are managed in Italian haematology departments. Currently in Italy approximately 150-200,000 units of platelet concentrates are transfused each year, at a total cost of about 40 million euros. Given that the resources involved are substantial, this survey could contribute to the debate on the best management of platelet transfusions by determining the real-life approach in several Italian haematology departments.

Material and methods

The GIMEMA Haemostasis and Thrombosis Working Party designed a questionnaire (Figure 1) to be delivered to experts in haemostasis and thrombosis working in haematology departments to cover platelet transfusions in the whole of 2013. Thirty-one haematology departments were contacted to investigate daily practice in the transfusion of platelet concentrates, such as the cut-off platelet count for transfusion, the evaluation of refractoriness and the type of platelet concentrates transfused. The platelet transfusion policy in the following circumstances was investigated specifically:

- patient in a stable condition without complications and platelet count $\leq 10 \times 10^9/L$;
- patient with active bleeding, in the presence of fever $>38^\circ C$, rapid drop in platelet count, or infection with a platelet count $\leq 20 \times 10^9/L$.

Questions were also asked on how to evaluate and reduce the risk of platelet refractoriness or prolong the life of transfused platelets by using ABO-compatible platelet concentrates or selected HLA-matched platelet donors. Finally, costs were compared according to the type of concentrates administered: derived from a pool or obtained by apheresis.

Results

Eighteen out of the 31 (58%) centres involved in this survey answered the questionnaire. The results are reported in Tables II and III. A total of 23,162 platelet concentrates were transfused in 2,396 patients. The vast majority of centres (95%) transfused according to the Italian and international guidelines^{3,20,21} while only a few (5%) transfused always when the platelet count was below the level of $20 \times 10^9/L$. Interestingly, the broad agreement on the platelet count cut-off for transfusion ($10 \times 10^9/L$) was not confirmed when WHO bleeding scores were considered (Table I). In fact only a minor proportion (33%) used transfusions as recommended by the WHO when the bleeding score was ≥ 2 (Table II).

Table I - WHO Bleeding Score

Grade 0	No bleeding
Grade 1	Petechiae, ecchymosis, occult blood in body secretions, etc.
Grade 2	Evidence of gross haemorrhage, not requiring red cell transfusion
Grade 3	Haemorrhage requiring transfusion
Grade 4	Life-threatening haemorrhage

1) In patients undergoing high-dose chemotherapy for haematological diseases, platelet concentrates are transfused:

☐ always when the platelet count is $\leq 20 \times 10^9/L$

☐ always when the platelet count is $\leq 10 \times 10^9/L$

☐ only if symptomatic when the platelet count is $\geq 20 \times 10^9/L$

☐ only if symptomatic when the platelet count is $\geq 10 \times 10^9/L$

2) The response to platelet transfusion is tested:

☐ after 1 hour

☐ after 24 hours

☐ never

3) Some patients are defined refractory according to the formula of the expected platelet increase:

Post PC - Pre PC

CCI = $\frac{\text{Post PC} - \text{Pre PC}}{\text{N. of platelets transfused (x10}^{11}\text{)}} \times \text{BSA}$ $< 7.5 \times 10^9/L$ after 1 h or $< 4.5 \times 10^9/L$ after 20-24 h

N. of platelets transfused (x10¹¹)

Where CCI is corrected count increment; Post PC is post-transfusion platelet count; Pre PC is pre-transfusion platelet count; BSA is body surface area.

☐ yes

☐ no

If yes:

☐ after 1 hour

☐ after 24 hours

If no:

☐ they are empirically defined as "refractory"

☐ refractoriness is not considered

4) Patients who do not respond to platelet transfusions are transfused:

☐ according to a defined cut off (see above)

☐ only when needed

☐ never

5) How many patients with acute myeloid leukaemia (excluding M3), lymphomas and bone marrow transplantation are treated in the department in 1 year?

6) How many platelet concentrates are transfused in total in 1 year in these patients?

7) How many and what percentages of platelet units are collected by apheresis and prepared from pools?

% pool

% apheresis

Figure 1 - Questionnaire on thrombocytopenia after high-dose chemotherapy sent by the GIMEMA Haemostasis and Thrombosis Working Party to Italian Haematology Departments.

Regarding refractoriness to platelet concentrates only a few haematology departments (11%) evaluated the platelet count 1 hour after the end of transfusion, while the vast majority (89%) did so after 24 hours. However, only 28% of these used the corrected count increment formula (Figure 1 and Table III), while empirical assessments, based on laboratory data routinely acquired 24 hours later, were prevalent (67%). Although 70% of haematology departments transfused refractory patients only when bleeding, 30% still continued to transfuse platelets regardless of the increase observed (Table III). These results show that platelet transfusions are more widely used than necessary in clinical practice and that patients are often transfused also in the case of minor bleeding such as petechiae and mild epistaxis.

The detailed responses to the questionnaire on the total amount and the types of platelet concentrates are reported in Tables IV and V.

Discussion

The first randomised trial on a platelet transfusion threshold in patients with blood malignancies, published in the 1990s³, was performed when there were fewer patients undergoing bone marrow transplantation (BMT) and high-dose chemotherapy and the platelet availability seemed sufficient to ensure transfusions for all those who needed them. The now widespread use of chemotherapy and autologous and allogeneic BMT has led to a dramatic increase in the number of patients potentially requiring platelet transfusion and availability has reached a critical point. This is one of the reasons why some

Table II - Clinical and laboratory data on platelet transfusion criteria in 2013 in Italy.

Data from 18 haematology departments	
Patients undergoing high-dose chemotherapy/BMT	2,396
PC transfused per high-dose chemotherapy/BMT (ratio)	3.9
Total transfused PC	23,162
Platelet count triggers for transfusion	N (%)
Always with platelet count $\leq 10 \times 10^9/L$	17 (95%)
In symptomatic patients with platelet count between $10 \times 10^9/L$ and $20 \times 10^9/L$	13 (72%)
Always with platelet count $\leq 20 \times 10^9/L$	1 (5%)
Definition of "symptomatic"	N (%)
Fever, even $<38^\circ C$	3 (17%)
Fever $>38^\circ C$	13 (72%)
All bleeding	11 (61%)
According to WHO bleeding score ($WHO \geq 2$)	6 (33%)

PC: platelet concentrate; BMT: bone marrow transplantation.

Table III - Efficacy assessment and platelet transfusion criteria*.

Efficacy assessment (platelet count)	N (%)
After 1 hour	2 (11%)
After 24 hours	16 (89%)
Never	2 (11%)
Corrected count increment*	N (%)
Yes, after 1 hour	2 (11%)
Yes, after 24 hours	5 (28%)
No, refractoriness assessed only empirically	12 (67%)
No, refractoriness not considered	2 (11%)
Platelet transfusion in patients defined refractory	N (%)
When platelet count $\leq 10 \times 10^9/L$	3 (15%)
When platelet count between $10 \times 10^9/L$ and $20 \times 10^9/L$	2 (10%)
When platelet count $\leq 20 \times 10^9/L$	1 (5%)
Only in the case of bleeding	14 (70%)

*The total number of answers exceeds the number of Haematology Departments as some departments gave multiple answers.

recent studies have focused on the possibility of reducing platelet transfusions in routine daily practice. Wandt *et al.*¹³ analysed 391 patients, 201 undergoing autologous BMT and 190 receiving intensive chemotherapy for acute myeloid leukaemia, who were randomised to receive platelet transfusions either prophylactically at a platelet count of $\leq 10 \times 10^9/L$ or as "on demand" treatment. The rate of WHO grade 2 bleeding was higher in the "on demand" group, the incidence of grade 3 or 4 bleeding was similar in the two groups, and seven out of 13 grade 4 bleeds in the treatment group occurred in patients with a platelet count between $56 \times 10^9/L$ and $11 \times 10^9/L$. This means that

Table IV - Platelet concentrates should contain, in accordance with current legislation, at least $2-3 \times 10^{11}$ platelets independently of whether they are produced from pooled buffy coats or apheresis^{19,20}.

Platelet count in PCs	
Type of PCs	Plts standard content
PC from a single unit of whole blood	$0.45-0.85 \times 10^{11}$
PC from a buffy coat pool: minimum content	2.5×10^{11}
PC from apheresis: minimum content	3×10^{11}
PC from plasma-platelet-apheresis or from a multicomponent sample: minimum content	2×10^{11}

PC: platelet concentrate; plt: platelet.

Table V - Total number, source and ABO compatibility of platelet concentrates transfused in 18 Italian Haematology Departments during 2013.

Total platelet concentrates (average 1,287/centre; range, 300-4,100)	23,162
Type of concentrate	
Pool	38%
Apheresis	62%
ABO-compatible	N (%)
Yes	4 (25%)
No	3 (20%)
Partially	11 (55%)

about half of the bleeds could have occurred equally in the group given prophylactic transfusions on the basis of the threshold platelet count of $10 \times 10^9/L$. The authors concluded that prophylaxis should still be the standard of care and that although "therapeutic transfusions only" might become daily practice, this should only be the case in selected centres for patients undergoing autologous BMT. Furthermore, the $10 \times 10^9/L$ cut-off should remain for patients with acute myeloid leukaemia as the bleeding rate was higher in patients with leukaemia than in those who underwent autologous BMT¹³.

Similarly, in another recent, large trial¹⁴, patients undergoing autologous BMT or chemotherapy for cancer (420 and 178, respectively) were randomly assigned to receive either prophylactic platelet transfusions, or no prophylaxis, if the platelet count was $<10 \times 10^9/L$. The results again supported the need for continued use of prophylactic platelet transfusions and showed the benefit of prophylaxis, as compared with no prophylaxis, in reduce bleeding. A very recent Cochrane review stated that, while it is reasonable to transfuse platelets to prevent bleeding according to the current transfusion policy, the evidence supporting this practice is often of low quality²².

Although the WHO bleeding score grades the type of intervention according to the severity (Table I), grade 2 events are heterogeneous and may be considered to have significantly different clinical impact. On this background, the patients' and doctors' "perception" of the bleeding may play a major role in administering platelet concentrates even for mild haemorrhages.

Refractoriness is a major, severe side effect of platelet transfusion and it is highly recommended that a platelet count is determined 1 hour after the end of transfusion in order to evaluate this effect^{23,24}. However, this seems very uncommon with our survey finding that this is being done in only 11% of centres, while the platelet count is checked 24 hours after the transfusion in most haematology departments. Thus, our data seem to suggest that while bleeding is particularly feared, the risks of immunisation and refractoriness are underestimated. Although this accounts for a more cautious approach in clinical practice in Italy, it is at variance with recent literature as the transfusion/patient ratio was higher in our survey (3.6 vs 2.4 and 3.0)^{13,14}. This seems to reflect the different approach in clinical practice compared to that in standardised clinical trials. In fact, patients in our survey were often transfused for minor bleeding (in 11 out of 18 centres, Table II), a practice more likely to be related to prudence than to the WHO bleeding score recommendations.

Transfused platelets may have a shortened lifespan as a result of alloimmunisation to platelet and leucocyte antigens (HLA class I and platelet-specific antigens, mainly HPA1) and transfusion of fresh platelet concentrates or 2 hours after amphotericin B infusion^{19,20} is recommended to prevent refractoriness and to prolong platelet survival. The transfusion of HLA-matched platelets does not seem to be a first-line strategy because it would need a large number of typed donors and would only be feasible in large centres (actually, only one in Italy), where there are donors whose HLA system has been characterised.

Another strategy to save platelets might be to transfuse at a lower platelet count, possibly below the $5 \times 10^9/L$ cut-off. This has been addressed by the British Committee for Standards in Haematology¹, but it seems difficult to propose, in part because of poor accuracy of laboratory counts when the number of platelets is very low. The possibility that platelet transfusion is performed only on demand or on the basis of a lower cut-off ($5 \times 10^9/L$) raises ethical considerations (risks) and practical problems (platelet counts). However the "on demand only strategy" might find further support from an analysis of other clinical scenarios. For example, prophylactic platelet transfusions are not indicated in patients with autoimmune thrombocytopenia, who may often have a platelet count $<10 \times 10^9/L$ for long

periods, except to stop a life-threatening bleed and yet major bleeding is reported in only 3/1,000 patients despite the severity of the thrombocytopenia²⁵. Other useful experience comes from Jehovah's Witnesses, who refuse therapy with blood components. There are reports in the literature describing the possibility of these patients undergoing intensive chemotherapy and stem cell transplantation without transfusions^{26,27}.

In its recent guidelines²¹, the American Association of Blood Banks still recommends transfusing hospitalised adult patients with a platelet count of $\leq 10 \times 10^9/L$ to reduce the risk of spontaneous bleeding. Using this clinical practice, approximately 2.2 million platelet units are transfused annually in the USA at an expense of nearly one billion dollars²⁸.

In Italy during 2013 about 200,000 platelet transfusions were carried out, 38% prepared from pooled buffy coats (cost approximately 123 Euro each) and 62% obtained by apheresis (245 Euro each), giving a total cost of around 40 million Euros. As there is no evidence that apheresis-derived platelets are better than pooled platelets, the cheaper option should be used in clinical practice²⁹.

Although no data are available from our survey, recent literature suggests that the "on demand" treatment strategy could become a new standard of care after autologous stem-cell transplantation while prophylactic platelet transfusions should remain the standard of care for patients with acute myeloid leukaemia. The new strategy should only be used by some haematology centres in which the staff are well trained and experienced in the new approach and can react in a timely way to the first signs of central nervous system bleeding.

Conclusions

Our survey suggests that, in routine daily practice, Italian haematologists adopt the worldwide criterion of a platelet count cut-off when deciding whether to transfuse platelet concentrates, but they pay less attention to the WHO recommendations on platelet transfusions for bleeding and on monitoring refractoriness. This causes an excess of platelet transfusions, more frequently prepared by apheresis than from pooled donors, with a resulting increase of costs and waste of public health resources. The GIMEMA Haemostasis and Thrombosis Working Party should collect more data and propose a national strategy to improve platelet transfusion practice, thereby saving money and reducing inappropriate use of this blood component.

*Contributors

Carbone C (Brescia); Carpenedo M (Monza); Cedrone M (Roma San Giovanni); Cerchiara E (Roma Campus

Biomedico); Chistolini A (Roma Sapienza); Del Principe MI (Roma Tor Vergata); Ferrari A (Roma Sant'Andrea); Giaccone L (Torino Molinette); Giuffrida G (Catania); Gugliotta L (Reggio Emilia); Rossi E (Roma Cattolica); Scaramucci R (Roma Sant'Eugenio); Siragusa S (Palermo); Tosetto A (Vicenza); and Vianelli N (Bologna).

Acknowledgements

We thank the *Associazione Italiana contro le Leucemie-Linfomi e Mieloma Onlus* (AIL) Treviso. Marco Basso is a fellow of the "*Avis per il progresso ematologico*" / AIL Treviso, Laura Candiottio is a fellow of AIL Treviso.

Authorship contributions

GT, GC, AF, MN, RS, SS, DV, ABF, and VDS, conceived and planned the study. GT, CT, LC, and MB collected and processed data. GT and GC wrote the draft and all co-authors reviewed the manuscript and approved its final version.

The Authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1) British Committee for Standards in Haematology. Guidelines for the use of platelet transfusions. *Br J Haematol* 2003; **122**: 10-23.
- 2) Schiffer AC, Anderson KC, Bennet CL, et al. Platelet transfusion for patients with cancer: clinical practice guidelines of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. *J Clin Oncol* 2001; **19**: 1519-38.
- 3) Rebulla P, Finazzi G, Marangoni F, et al. The threshold for prophylactic platelet transfusions in adults with acute myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med* 1997; **337**: 1870-5.
- 4) Zumberg MS, del Rosario ML, Nejame CF, et al. A prospective randomized trial of prophylactic platelet transfusion and bleeding incidence in hemopoietic stem cell transplant recipients: 10,000/microL versus 20,000/microL trigger. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant* 2002; **8**: 569-76.
- 5) Miller AB, Hoogstraten B, Staquet M, Winkler A. Reporting results of cancer treatment. *Cancer* 1981; **47**: 207-14.
- 6) Stanworth SJ, Hyde C, Heddle N, et al. Prophylactic platelet transfusion for haemorrhage after chemotherapy and stem cell transplantation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2004; **2**: CD004269.
- 7) Friedmann AM, Sengul H, Lehmann H, et al. Do basic laboratory test or clinical observations predict bleeding in thrombocytopenic oncology patients? A re-evaluation of platelet transfusions. *Transfus Med Rev* 2002; **16**: 34-45.
- 8) Stanworth SJ, Hyde C, Brunskill S, Murphy MF. Platelet transfusion prophylaxis for patients with haematological malignancies: where to now? *Br J Haematol* 2005; **131**: 588-95.
- 9) Higby DJ, Cohen E, Holland JF, Sinks L. The prophylactic treatment of thrombocytopenic leukemic patients with platelets: a double blind study. *Transfusion* 1974; **43**: 742-52.
- 10) Murphy S, Litwin S, Herring LM, et al. Indications for platelet transfusions in children with acute leukaemia. *Am J Hematol* 1982; **12**: 347-56.
- 11) Solomon J, Bofenkamp T, Fahey JL, et al. Platelet prophylaxis in acute non-lymphoblastic leukaemia. *Lancet* 1978; **4**: 267.
- 12) Gmur J, Burger J, Schanz U, et al. Safety of stringent prophylactic platelet transfusion policy for patients with acute leukaemia. *Lancet* 1991; **338**: 1223-6.
- 13) Wandt H, Schaefer-Eckart K, Wendelin K, et al. Therapeutic platelet transfusion versus routine prophylactic transfusion in patients with haematological malignancies: an openlabel, multicentre, randomised study. *Lancet* 2012; **380**: 1309-16.
- 14) Stanworth SJ, Estcourt LJ, Powter G, et al. A no-prophylaxis platelet transfusion strategy for hematologic cancers. *N Engl J Med* 2013; **368**: 1771-80.
- 15) Benjamin RJ, Anderson KC. What is the proper threshold for platelet transfusion in patients with chemotherapy-induced thrombocytopenia? *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol* 2002; **42**: 163-71.
- 16) Slichter SJ, Davis K, Enright H, et al. Factors affecting posttransfusion platelet increments, platelet refractoriness, and platelet transfusion intervals in thrombocytopenic patients. *Blood* 2005; **105**: 4106-14.
- 17) Contreras M. Diagnosis and treatment of patients refractory to platelet transfusions. *Blood Rev* 1998; **12**: 215-21.
- 18) Novotny VM. Prevention and management of platelet transfusion refractoriness. *Vox Sang* 1999; **76**: 1-13.
- 19) Hussein MA, Fletcher R, Long TJ, et al. Transfusing platelets 2h after the completion of amphotericin-B decreases its detrimental effect on transfused platelet recovery and survival. *Transfus Med* 1998; **8**: 43-7.
- 20) Liumbruno G, Bennardello F, Lattanzio A, et al.; Italian Society of Transfusion Medicine and Immunohaematology (SIMITI) Work Group. Recommendations for the transfusion of plasma and platelets. *Blood Transfus* 2009; **7**: 132-50.
- 21) Kaufman RM, Djulbegovic B, Gernsheimer T, et al.; AABB. Platelet transfusion: a clinical practice guideline from the AABB. *Ann Intern Med* 2015; **162**: 205-13.
- 22) Estcourt LJ, Stanworth SJ, Doree C, et al. Comparison of different platelet count thresholds to guide administration of prophylactic platelet transfusion for preventing bleeding in people with haematological disorders after myelosuppressive chemotherapy or stem cell transplantation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015; **11**: CD010983.
- 23) Council of Europe. *Guide to the Preparation, Use and Quality Assurance of Blood Components. Recommendation No R (95) 15 on the Preparation, Use and Quality Assurance of Blood Components*. 14th ed. Strasbourg: Council of Europe Publishing; 2008.
- 24) Official Gazette of the Italian Republic - Characteristics and methods for blood donation and blood components. General Series N. 85 of 13/04/05. Legislative Decree, 3 March 2005. [In Italian.]
- 25) British Committee for Standards in Haematology General Haematology Task Force. Guidelines for the investigation and management of idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura in adults, children and in pregnancy. *Br J Haematol* 2003; **120**: 574-96.
- 26) Brow NM, Kim SY, Ford PA. Autologous stem cell transplants in Jehovah's witnesses. *Bone Marrow Transplant* 2009; **44**: 391-2.
- 27) Schmitt S, Mailaender V, Egerer G, et al. Successful autologous peripheral blood stem cell transplantation in a Jehovah's witness with multiple myeloma: review of literature and recommendations for high-dose chemotherapy without support of allogeneic blood products. *Int J Haematol* 2008; **87**: 289-97.
- 28) Campbell HE, Estcourt LJ, Stokes EA, et al.; TOPPS Study Investigators. Prophylactic platelet transfusions in patients with blood malignancies: cost analysis of a randomized trial. *Transfusion* 2014; **54**: 2394-403.
- 29) Andreu G, Vasse J, Sandid I, et al. Use of random versus apheresis platelet concentrates. *Transfus Clin Biol* 2007; **14**: 514-21.

Arrived: 7 December 2015 - Revision accepted: 7 February 2016

Correspondence: Giuseppe Tagariello

Transfusion Service

Haematology and Haemophilia Centre

Via Ospedale 18

31033 Castelfranco Veneto, Italy

e-mail: giuseppe.tagariello@ulssasolo.ven.it